[Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan]

me. You are blundering. We want to correct you. There is a rule in our books which guide these financial aspects that amounts sanctioned by this House, if not spent within 12 months, take the shape of a LAPSE which has again to be put forward as a fresh demand before spending it, because supplementary demand means something required to supplement what has already been sanctioned and spent fully or in part.

You are ours, you are blundering, we want to correct you, we want to make you admit your mistake as behoves a man if you deserve to be called a man. The permission of the House has to be asked before spending it because supplementary demands means something required to supplement what has already been sanctioned and spent on the strength of this sanction. Here is a case of our original sanction sought for and granted but, because of the laziness, of dilatoriness, for want of regard for the poor paid teachers, what was asked for to be certainly spent in 1121 itself; by the dilatoriness, by the carelessness, by the want of a sense of responsibility in the case of these poor paid teachers half starved individuals the result was what was granted in 1121 had lapsed. Therefore before spending one single pie you have to get the sanction. I have no quarrel Sir, for having paid it without getting our sanction on the very tenable ground that it is an amount which is urgently to be paid, urgently for the reason that it goes to fill the hungry stomach not only of the eye sunken teacher but of the projeny which can be called human beings because it is the projeny of persons with hungry stomachs but being the amount required to fill the parents stomach as also the children's stomach and to cover their nakedness. But you have absolutely no justification Sir, to insult this House by coming here asking for that amount, which has already been spent and if this individual whose performance I characterise as making a cry in the wilderness moves to reduce the allotment of Rs. 38,950 by rupee one he is only doing his duty. I acknowledge Sir, that it is a cry in the wilderness. But, still, Sir, my sense of duty compels me to register my protest. I have no quarrel over your spending the amount. You have got provision in the same Constitution Act of Cochin in such cases— simply printed paper—under some of the rules 57, 68 or something— my friend the Comptroller of Finance and Accounts will be more correct in the quotation of the section—there is provision in the Act to meet such contingencies. My complaint is not for not getting sanction of this House. But after spending it without placing the statement as allowed and contemplated and authorised by the Act you have, I am afraid, Sir, from the attempted answer given deliberately with the intention of insulting the House and most of the non-ministerial members being absent, I protest against that. But if you do not want to compel me to press it or you refuse me to give leave to withdraw I would press it. But if you will give leave to withdraw I am agreeable to withdraw, emphasising it, repeating it, stressing it, that this insult, let this be the last occasion as stated earlier by me.

Mr. President: Will the Hon'ble Member please avoid repetition? Are you pressing the motion?

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: If the Ministerialists do not want to see the sight of an Hon'ble Member or a representative of the people, I am sorry I used Hon'ble—coming in a situation where he is not allowed to withdraw a cut motion because of the weight of the argument to which answer there was none. Therefore as I said I am agreeable Sir, if leave will be granted to withdraw it, but again repeatedly stressing the insult that was caused.

Mr. President: Is the House agreeable for the cut motion to be withdrawn.

Motion, by leave, withdrawn

Mr. President: The question is that Government be granted an additional sum of Rs. 38,960 under "21-5-Primary Boys—Salary Grant".

Demand, put and carried

(6—12) 21—Education—State—Ordinary grant, etc.

Mr. P. Govinda Menon *(Minister for Food and Education)*: I rise to move for an additional allotment of Rs 63,775, under 21 Education—State—Ordinary grant, Rs. 6,255 under—Contribution to D. A. (Aided Schools) Rs. 15,850—Primary Girls—Establishment—Rs. 2,960 Primary Girls—D. A. (Sirkar Schools), Rs. 7,130—Primary Girls—Salary Grant—Rs. 10790 under Primary Girls—Ordinary Grant—Rs. 2,040—under Primary Girls—Contribution to D. A. (Aided Schools) for the reasons explained by me while moving the previous grant.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. President: The question is that Government be granted an additional sum of Rs. 63,775 under "Additional allotment—21—Education—State—Primary Boys—Ordinary grant, Rs, 6,255 under Contribution to D. A. Aided Schools, Rs. 15,850 under Primary Girls Establishment—Rs. 2,060—Primary Girls D. A. (Sirkar Schools)—Rs. 7,130—Primary Girls—Salary grant—Rs. 10,790 under Primary Girls—Ordinary grant and Rs. 2,040 under Primary Girls—Contribution to D. A. (Aided Schools).

Demand, put and carried

(13) 32—Scientific etc. Departments

Mr. P. Govinda Menon *(Minister for Food and Education):* I move that an amount of Rs. 47 be granted to Government under "32 Scientific, etc. Department—Museum and Zoological Gardens—Dearness allowance" by transfer from "1-Land Revenue—Miscelloneous".

The reason for this demand is that the budget allotment for 1121 under "Museum—Dearness Allowance" was Rs. 4,767 but the expenditure came to Rs. 4,993—2—0. There was thus an excess expenditure of Rs. 226—2—0. A sum of only Rs. 179—2—0 is available for transfer from other heads in the museum budget. The deficit of Rs. 47 is therefore proposed to be met by this demand.

Mr. President: The question is that Government be granted a sum of Rs. 47 under "32—Scientific, etc. Departments—Museum and Zoological Gardens—Dearness Allowance" by transfer from "1—Land Revenue—Miscellaneous".

Demand, put and carried

(14) 41—Miscellaneous 5 Textiles

Mr. P. Govinda Menon *(Minister for Food and Education):* I rise to move for an additional allotment of Rs. 8,661 unber "41—Miscellaneous—5—Textiles".

In order to assist the Textile Field Officers, 30 Temporary clerks were sanctioned last year. Their term of Office had to be extended in the course of the present financial year. To meet their pay, allowances, etc., an additional allotment of Rs. 8,661 has become necessary under "41—Miscellaneous—5—Textiles".

Mr. President: There is a cut motion by Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan.

CUT MOTION No. 2

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri):* Mr. President, I move that this amount of Rs. 8,651 under 41—Miscellaneous—5—Textiles be reduced by Re. 1 to criticise the Government for the inordinate delay in disposing of the request for requirements made by the public. Sir, the note refers to the appointment of 30 temporary clerks to assist the Textile Field Officers last year obviously for quicker despatch of work. That is expected of the Textile Field Officers and their Offices, which work being to register in the first place applications made for textile goods to be supplied though meagrely, then either allow it whole or part or refuse and then communicate that information to the applicants or if the applicant presents himself personally to dispose of his application as quickly as possible. The discussion under this item which is referred to here in the notes last year will show that this House was extremely pleased with this good step. For, the despatch of work quicker was atleast at that date thought necessary by the Government. The discussion will show that. Now experience, bitter experience shows that even with these appointments, not for the reason that numbers are not sufficient but for other reasons the inordinate delay still persists in the disposal of the papers submitted by persons requiring the textile goods. It is therefore, to criticise the Government in this behalf as a popular Government for the inordinate delay in disposing of the applications that I move the cut motion. Facts stated by me if challenged will be proved, and if the discipline of the party stands in the way of the opening of the lips of the Ministry members I am sure that every word of the observations that I have made will find echo in their hearts. If they will open their lips they will open and support my cut. If they are prevented from doing it I am not concerned. I have raised the eagerness of the population of Cochin for whom I stand here and I move the Cut Motion to be considered on its merits.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly